

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 06/23/2003 10/601,381 Arthur Bobovitch 0-03-139 7629 EXAMINER 7590 08/24/2005 Kevin D. McCarthy TARAZANO, DONALD LAWRENCE Roach Brown McCarthy & Gruber, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1620 Liberty Building 420 Main Street 1773 Buffalo, NY 14202

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	h
•		10/601,3	<b>81</b>	BOBOVITCH ET AL.	ŗ
•	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit	
·	·		nce Tarazano	1773	
	The MAILING DATE of this comm				ş
Period fe	or Reply				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this conception of the provision	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventual contents of (30) days, a reply within the star a statutory period will apply and weight will, by statute, cause the apply after the mailing date of this contents.	ent, however, may a reply cutory minimum of thirty (3 ill expire SIX (6) MONTH: lication to become ABAN	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communi  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <i>09 June 2005</i> .			
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims		• .		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠					
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)□	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.	•		
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/a				
	Applicant may not request that any ol	-			404413
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) include The oath or declaration is objected	=			
					- <del>-</del> -
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the prior 2. Certified copies of the prior 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office and Copies of the prior at the attached detailed Office and Copies of the prior at the attached detailed Office and Copies of the prior at the prior at the attached detailed Office and Copies of the prior at the prior at the attached detailed Office and Copies of the prior at the attached detailed Office and Copies of the prior at the prior at the attached detailed Office and Copies of the attached detailed Office at th	ity documents have bed ity documents have bed es of the priority docum ational Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in App ents have been re le 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stag	l <b>e</b>
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviev rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 er No(s)/Mail Date			Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)

Art Unit: 1773

## **DETAILED ACTION** .

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kondo et al (5,614,315).
- 3. Kondo et al. teach crosslinked films comprising a polypropylene core and polyethylene surface layers and these films would have the claimed "incompatibility"
- 4. The examiner points to Van Loon et al. (6,094,889) column 1, lines 10-35, and Wagner, Jr. et al. (4,495,027) column 3, lines 13+ to show that polypropylene does not have good compatibility with ethylenic polymers.

## Response to Arguments

5. The applicants have overcome the outstanding double patenting rejection. Claims 2-3 are objected to as depending from a rejected claim. Claims 4-8 are allowed. In view of newly discovered art, claim 1 is rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,381 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to D. Lawrence Tarazano whose telephone number is (571)-272-

1515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 6:00 (off every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571)-272-1284. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Lawrence Tarazano Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

alv.